

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/086,712	Applicant(s) FRISCH, NORBERT	
	Examiner Joseph D. Manoskey	Art Unit 2113	

All Participants:

(1) Joseph D. Manoskey.

(2) Mark Henry (Reg. No. 36,162).

Date of Interview: 17 February 2006

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:

 Claims discussed:

 Prior art documents discussed:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 10:45am

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The Examiner left a message with Mr. Henry inquiring about the status of the application. A return call was received from a representative of Mr. Henry informing the Examiner that it was the applicant's intent to abandon the case.

Part III.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)